Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,149	SLIVAR, DJURO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AMANDA BARROW	1729	

	AMANDA BARROW	1729		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 07 November 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, af ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	LED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered be	ecause	
(a) 🛛 They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NC			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	•	educing or simplifying t	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally re	jected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	6 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·		_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-5,17 and 18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-16</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. la - 5 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10		* la a a m * a m a al	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	ice because:	
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: See Attached Interview Summary. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/ULA C. RUDDOCK/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1729	/AMANDA BARROW/ Examiner, Art Unit 1729			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues that would require further consideration and search are that, "A cylindrical separator for cylindrical body constituted by a layered structure of a plurality of turns of at least a non-woven sheet material placed onto one another and wound together without any binder." Specifically, this amendment has not been considered as the claims are reciting that the plurality of turns are placed onto one another and wound together without any binder which has not previously been recited. This would require further search, and also consideration of the newly recited limitations as new matter as the specification would have to be reviewed to conclude whether or not it supports the turns of the separator being placed onto one another as presently claimed. Had the amendment placed ALL of the limitations of claim 2 (wherein said cylindrical body is made from a plurality of sheets placed onto one another and wound together without any binder), the amendment would have been entered and considered.